

Entry Permit Holder Report

SafeWork SA

Application ID 21832256

Entry Permit Holder	
Full Name:	Cody Hastings
Permit Number:	ET 2500877
Union Represented:	CFMEU (C&G Division)
Worksite Entered	
Business Name:	Unley 108 / Catcorp
Industry:	Construction
Address:	108 Unley Road, Unley, SA
Date workplace entered:	23/07/25

I Cody Hastings am of the opinion that the PCBU has contravened the WHS legislation relating to:

	Alleged Contravention	More information	Was the contravention rectified?
PCBU Alleged Contravention 1	Hindering or obstructing the EPH to exercise a right while at the workplace	Upon entering site at approximately 8:05am, EPH Troy McIntyre, and myself, were told by both [REDACTED] (site safety manager) and [REDACTED] (site manager/supervisor) that we were not allowed onsite, that we were trespassing, and that we have to give notice prior to entry. The site team also commented that we had been harassing them with our several visits to site. We explained we were allowed onsite under s117 of the WHS Act. We also explained that everytime we had	No

		<p>visited site, there had been non-compliance. We also explained our visit was due to not being provided with certain engineering paperwork we had previously requested, and we had concerns the site was operating in an unsafe manner.</p> <p>Throughout our visit the site team threatened to call the police on us, which we welcomed them to do. [REDACTED] also attempted to physically block Troy McIntyre at one stage, by placing himself in front of Troy.</p>	
PCBU Alleged Contravention 2	Hindering or obstructing the EPH to exercise a right while at the workplace	<p>While escorting us through site, [REDACTED] left us alone at one stage without any explanation, while we were up on the level one deck. Several minutes later [REDACTED] then came up to the deck and advised us we need to leave, and that we should not be there. We again explained we were there under s117, and that [REDACTED] had been escorting us but left us alone without any warning/conversation.</p> <p>When we tried to make [REDACTED] aware of non-compliance on the deck, he effectively ignored our concerns, or made excuses for them, and again kept saying we had to leave site.</p> <p>At one stage [REDACTED] asked why we were onsite, as there was only 2 union members onsite. When asked how [REDACTED] knew that information, he confirmed he had asked the workers who were members.</p> <p>While trying to inspect the accessways for the tower crane driver, we were once again told we weren't allowed to go down to the basement, and that the police and safework would be called on us.</p>	No
PCBU Alleged Contravention 3	Not maintaining a safe work environment	<p>While onsite, we witnessed a pre-cast panel (approx 7 tonne) which was being lifted by the tower crane, be lifted over the top of an excavator, while there was someone inside of the excavator.</p>	Yes

PCBU Alleged Contravention 4	Not maintaining safe plant and structures	<p>On the level one deck, we found non-compliant kickers, or missing kickers, on the deck running parallel to Unley Road, with a public walkway below. Other areas of the deck had no kickers at all, while workers worked and walked below the area, with no control for dropped items.</p> <p>A live edge had been left open and unprotected in the north east corner of the level one deck. When asked about it, the site team said the hand rails had only recently been removed, however we explained that a live edge/hole cannot just be left open and unsupervised.</p>	No
PCBU Alleged Contravention 5	Not maintaining safe plant and structures	<p>The edge protection on the level one deck consisted of a mixture of tube and clip style hand rail, and timber hand rails. I suspect the tube and clip hand rails had not been installed as per manufacturers specs, as the base only had a single bolt in it.</p> <p>The timber hand rails consisted of large areas which would fail to sufficiently stop someone falling through (ie bits of timber nailed together).</p>	No
PCBU Alleged Contravention 6	Not maintaining a safe work environment	<p>Site access for the tower crane driver is non-compliant, and has been an ongoing issue.</p> <p>The operator is required to walk down bond deck (which the site treat as stairs), where there is no hand rail, and the operator is then to walk through the basement to the base of the crane, and climb up. The site has an allocated walkway through the basement, however a build up of materials has nullified the point of the assigned walkway (inaccessible without climbing over materials).</p> <p>When the site team were made aware, they took no action to fix the issue.</p>	No
PCBU Alleged Contravention 7	Not maintaining a safe work environment	<p>There is a ladder from the ground level, to the level one deck. The ladder is not fixed at the bottom, and also not protrude at least 900mm past the landing. Furthermore to get on/off the</p>	No

		<p>ladder, workers are expected to climb under/over hand rails.</p> <p>In the same area there is also an area that was made to allow the crane driver to access the crane ladder. This area consists of a step up which is approximately 400-500mm tall, and the operator is expected to make a step/leap approximately 1m from the landing, and if he was to fall it would be an approx 6m drop.</p>	
PCBU Alleged Contravention 8	Not maintaining safe systems of work	While installing a pre-cast panel next to Unley Road, there was no traffic management in place, or spotters in place to stop pedestrians from walking by while in the potential drop zone of a pre-cast panel.	No
PCBU Alleged Contravention 9	Not maintaining a safe work environment	Large number of the rebar/starter bars onsite had no caps on them, leaving an uncontrolled impalement risk.	No
PCBU Alleged Contravention 10	Not maintaining safe systems of work	While onsite, we witnessed a member of the site team go to direct traffic, with no appropriate stop/slow sign. (video evidence can be provided).	No